Scale-Relative Primality and the Structural Determinism of Transcendental Digits

On τ-scaled factorization, conformal arithmetic, and why the digits of τ are not random

Timothy Solomon
Independent Researcher

March 2026

Abstract

The decimal expansion of $\tau = 2\pi$ is universally described as "random-looking." This paper argues that such language is not merely imprecise but structurally misleading. Using the notion of scale-relative primality: a factorization framework in which powers of τ act as units of scale and primality is defined by integer content rather than integer identity. Within this framework, elements such as $3/\tau$, 3, and 3τ belong to the same τ-prime class, and their irreducibility is not metaphorical but enforced by the transcendence of τ itself. The map $n \to n\tau$ constitutes a faithful τ-scaled embedding of the multiplicative and factorization structure of the integers into the reals — one in which prime structure, factorization, divisibility, and ordering are preserved exactly, while the transcendental barrier prevents any algebraic collapse of the image. The structural determinism implied by this embedding is then used to argue that the digit sequence of τ is the unique trace of a maximally constrained geometric-arithmetic object, and therefore nonrandom in the deepest available sense.

1. Introduction: The Wrong Question About Digits

The standard question about the decimal expansion of π or $\tau = 2\pi$ is: are the digits random? This question admits two precise formulations. The first is algorithmic: are the digits generated by a process with maximal Kolmogorov complexity? The answer is no. Both π and τ are computable constants, generated by deterministic algorithms. Their digit sequences have low algorithmic complexity relative to a genuinely random real like Chaitin's Ω. The second formulation is statistical: does every finite digit string appear with the expected limiting frequency? This is the property of normality, and for both π and τ it remains unproved, though widely conjectured.

Neither formulation captures what is actually interesting about these constants. The digits are the least important part. They are a representational artifact — the trace of a base-10 projection onto a number whose structure is not decimal but geometric. The real question is not whether the digits look random, but what kind of object produces them, and what structural constraints that object imposes.

This paper proposes a framework for answering that question. The central claim is that τ is not merely a number but a scaling law — one that transports the multiplicative and factorization structure of the integers into a new domain without algebraic collapse, and whose transcendence is precisely the condition that prevents this transport from collapsing. The digits, in this view, are the unique decimal encoding compatible with the full package of geometric and arithmetic constraints that define τ. Once the object is fixed, they cannot be otherwise.. That is a stronger statement than either algorithmic determinism or statistical normality, and it requires a different kind of argument.

2. The τ-Scaled Factorization System

Begin by defining the ambient structure in which scale-relative primality operates.

2.1 The τ-Multiplicative Family

Definition 1. The τ-multiplicative family is the set

$$M\tau = \{ \pm n\tau^k : n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

equipped with ordinary real multiplication. This is the set of all nonzero integers scaled by arbitrary integer powers of τ.

2.2 Scale Units

Definition 2. The group of τ-scale units is

$$U\tau = \{ \pm\tau^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

These are the elements of $M\tau$ whose integer content is 1. They form a multiplicative group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (the exponent and the sign). The scale units play the role that ordinary units (±1) play in integer arithmetic: they are the elements that do not contribute content.

2.3 Integer Content

Definition 3. The integer content of an element $\pm n\tau^k \in M\tau$ is the function

$$c(\pm n\tau^k) = n$$

This is well-defined precisely because τ is transcendental. If τ were algebraic, distinct pairs (n, k) and (m, ℓ) could yield the same real number, making the content function ambiguous. Transcendence prevents this (see Section 3).

2.4 The Associate Relation

Definition 4. Two elements $a, b \in M\tau$ are τ-associates, written $a \sim\tau\, b$, if $a = ub$ for some $u \in U\tau$. Equivalently, $a \sim\tau\, b$ if and only if $c(a) = c(b)$.

So 3, 3τ, $3/\tau$, $3\tau^{17}$, and $-3\tau^{-2}$ are all τ-associates. They are the same element viewed at different scales.

2.5 τ-Primality

Definition 5. An element $a \in M\tau$ is τ-prime if its integer content $c(a)$ is a prime number in $\mathbb{Z}$.

A τ-prime class is the full associate class $[p]\tau = \{ \pm p\tau^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ for some prime p.

Examples: $3/\tau$ is τ-prime (content 3). $7\tau^2$ is τ-prime (content 7). $4/\tau$ is τ-composite (content $4 = 2 \times 2$). 6τ is τ-composite (content $6 = 2 \times 3$). 1 and all τ^k are τ-units, neither prime nor composite.

Crucially, no decimal evaluation of τ is required at any point. The classification is entirely symbolic and content-based. Putting a prime number next to τ makes the element τ-prime in the same way that placing 3 apples on a table makes the count prime — the scale of the table is irrelevant to the counting.

3. Why Transcendence Protects the Separation

The entire framework depends on the content function c being well-defined. This section shows that transcendence is both necessary and sufficient for this.

3.1 The Well-Definedness Theorem

Theorem 1. If τ is transcendental, then for any n, m $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ and k, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $n\tau^k = m\tau^\ell$ if and only if n = m and k = ℓ (up to sign).

Proof. Suppose $n\tau^k = m\tau^\ell$ with $k \geq \ell$ (without loss of generality). Then $n\tau^{k-\ell} = m$, which gives $\tau^{k-\ell} = m/n$. If $k \neq \ell$, then τ satisfies the polynomial equation $x^{k-\ell} - m/n = 0$, contradicting the transcendence of τ. Therefore k = ℓ and consequently n = m. □

This is the foundational result. It says that the decomposition of any element of Mτ into integer content and τ-scale is unique. Transcendence is not an incidental property of τ — it is the structural guarantee that content and scale never collapse into each other.

3.2 Algebraic Failure Modes

To see why transcendence is necessary, consider what happens with algebraic scalars. Replace τ with √2, and then you would have $(\sqrt{2})^2 = 2$, so the element $1 \cdot (\sqrt{2})^2$ and the element $2 \cdot (\sqrt{2})^0$ are the same real number, but they have different integer contents (1 and 2 respectively). The content function becomes ambiguous. Products in $\sqrt{2}\cdot\mathbb{Z}$ collapse back into $\mathbb{Z}$, muddying the structural separation.

Even with a "more irrational" algebraic number like the golden ratio φ, the minimal polynomial $\varphi^2 = \varphi + 1$ creates algebraic entanglements between scale levels. Only transcendence provides the clean separation.

3.3 The Lindemann–Weierstrass Guarantee

By the Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem, if α is a nonzero algebraic number, then e^α is transcendental. Since τ = 2π and e^(iπ) = −1, the transcendence of π (and therefore τ) follows from this deep result. More relevantly for our purposes: for any nonzero rational r, the product rτ is transcendental. This means every element of Mτ with integer content $n \geq 1$ is itself a transcendental number. The elements do not lose transcendence under scaling — they carry it.

This is the precise sense in which a τ-prime remains irreducible within the τ-scaled factorization system while also being absolutely transcendental as a real number. The element 3τ is not merely a relabeling of 3; it is a transcendental real number that (a) is irreducible within the τ-scaled factorization system, and (b) cannot be captured by any polynomial equation with integer coefficients. The primality and the transcendence coexist without conflict, because they operate in different dimensions: primality describes the internal arithmetic of $M\tau$, while transcendence describes the external algebraic status of the element within $\mathbb{R}$.

4. The Conformal Character of τ-Scaling

The claim that τ-scaling is conformal has been questioned on terminological grounds. This section defends the usage.

4.1 The Standard Meaning

In complex analysis, a conformal map is one that preserves angles locally. The prototypical examples are analytic functions with nonzero derivative, and the simplest conformal maps are multiplication by a complex constant $z \to cz$. Multiplication by a positive real scalar is the degenerate case: pure dilation, zero rotation, trivially angle-preserving.

So the map $x \to \tau x$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (or its natural extension to $\mathbb{C}$) is conformal in the standard sense. It preserves all angles, preserves orientation, and preserves the shape of every geometric relationship while rescaling magnitude. Two numbers in a 3:5 ratio before scaling remain in a 3:5 ratio after.

4.2 The Deeper Meaning

But any nonzero scalar preserves ratios. What makes τ-scaling interesting is not the trivial conformality of dilation but the combination of conformality with transcendence.

A conformal map does not just preserve shape — it embeds shape into a new context without distortion. When the scaling factor is algebraic, the embedded image becomes algebraically entangled with its source: products collapse, new polynomial relations emerge, the embedding is “noisy.” When the scaling factor is transcendental, the conformal image is a perfect structural copy that is simultaneously invisible to the algebraic structure of the source.

This is the substantive sense in which τ-scaling is conformal: it is a shape-preserving embedding that remains shape-preserving under all algebraic operations, because transcendence prevents the image from ever folding back onto or into the algebraic numbers. The conformality is not just geometric but arithmetic. The map $x \to \tau x$ preserves not only ratios and angles but the full multiplicative anatomy of integer content — factorization, divisibility, primality, and unique factorization — while leaving additive phenomena outside scope. This by extension preserves ratios geometrically, and in the τ-scaled factorization system it preserves integer content, τ-associate classes, divisibility by content, and unique factorization up to τ-units — and it does so without creating any algebraic interference between the image and the source.

Therefore, in the stronger sense relevant here, “conformal” means: a structure-preserving embedding whose image is algebraically disjoint from its source. This is a stronger condition than mere dilation, and it is available only when the scaling factor is transcendental.

5. Faithful Embedding: Making "Play Nice" Rigorous

The informal claim that τ-scaled primes "play nice with the integer primes" requires precise formulation, decomposing it into four independent properties.

5.1 Algebraic Independence (No Collisions)

Theorem 2. For any prime p and any algebraic number α, then $p\tau \neq \alpha$.

This follows from the Lindemann–Weierstrass theorem: p is rational and nonzero, τ is transcendental, so pτ is transcendental, and therefore not equal to any algebraic number. The two lattices — $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau\mathbb{Z}$ — are completely disjoint except at zero. There are no collisions, no coincidences, no accidental equalities between τ-scaled elements and algebraic numbers.

5.2 No Polynomial Interference (No Hidden Equations)

Theorem 3. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be primes and let $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients. If P is homogeneous of degree d, then $P(p_1 \tau, \ldots, p_n\tau) = \tau^d \cdot P(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$. If P is not homogeneous, then $P(p_1 \tau, \ldots, p_n\tau) = 0$ implies P is identically zero on those inputs, since otherwise τ would satisfy a nontrivial polynomial equation over $\mathbb{Z}$.

The content of this theorem is that the τ-scaled primes cannot satisfy any algebraic relation that the integer primes do not already satisfy. No new equations appear. No new structure is imposed. The only relationships among elements of $\tau\mathbb{Z}$ are faithful images of relationships in $\mathbb{Z}$.

5.3 Multiplicative Faithfulness (No Phantom Factors)

Theorem 4. If $p\tau \cdot q\tau = r\tau \cdot s\tau$ within $M\tau$, then $pq = rs$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, unique prime factorization transfers exactly: every element of Mτ has a unique factorization into τ-primes and τ-units.

You cannot create new factorizations by working in Mτ, and you cannot hide existing ones. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic holds within Mτ with exactly the same content as in $\mathbb{Z}$.

5.4 Order and Distribution (Gap Preservation)

If $p < q$ as primes, then $p\tau < q\tau$ as reals (since $\tau > 0$). The gaps between consecutive primes scale uniformly: if the gap between consecutive primes p and q is $g = q - p$, the gap between pτ and qτ is gτ. Whatever structure exists in the prime gaps — so there is deep structure there — it is present at scale τ, dilated but undistorted.

Taken together, these four theorems are what "playing nice" means: the integer primes and the τ-scaled primes coexist in $\mathbb{R}$ with zero algebraic interference, perfect structural mirroring, and no information loss in either direction.

6. Content Recovery and Relative Exactness

A key feature of the τ-scaled system is that elements are exactly recoverable in content without requiring the real-number value to be finitely realized.

Consider the ratio of two elements at the same scale:

$$(3/\tau) \div (4/\tau) = 3/4$$

The common τ^{-1} cancels, and the result is a rational number. More generally, for any $a = n\tau^k$ and $b = m\tau^k$ at the same scale level:

$$a/b = n/m$$

This is the sense in which transcendence can become "background scale" while integer content becomes "foreground exactness." The element $3/\tau$ is absolutely transcendental as a real number, but relative to the scale unit τ^{-1}, its content is exactly 3. This property has relative exactness after quotienting by scale.

This is emphatically not a loss of transcendence. The absolute algebraic status of $3/\tau$ is unchanged — it remains transcendental, satisfying no polynomial with integer coefficients. What changes is the frame of reference: within the τ-scaled system, the transcendental component is structural background (the gauge, the unit, the ruler), while the integer content is the foreground object of arithmetic interest.

The analogy to coordinate systems is exact. A point in the plane has coordinates (x, y) relative to a chosen basis. Changing the basis changes the coordinates but not the point. Similarly, the element 3τ has content 3 relative to the τ-basis and content 3τ relative to the integer basis — but the element itself is the same point in $\mathbb{R}$, and its primality (in the τ-sense) is invariant under the change of basis.

7. The Structural Nonrandomness of τ's Digits

7.1 Three Senses of "Random"

The digits of τ are not random in the algorithmic sense: they are computable. Whether they are random in the statistical sense (normality) is unproved. But there is a third sense — structural randomness — and it is the one that matters.

A structurally random sequence is one that encodes no coherent structure beyond its own enumeration. A truly random real number is almost surely transcendental (since the algebraic numbers have measure zero), but its transcendence is vacuous — it does not generate any useful structure, it merely fails to satisfy polynomial equations. There is nothing the transcendence of a random real is doing.

7.2 The Structural Load of τ

The transcendence of τ, by contrast, is substantive. It actively prevents the collapse of a rich arithmetic structure that τ is simultaneously transporting. As shown in Sections 2–5, τ generates a faithful, conformal embedding of all of integer arithmetic — including primality, factorization, divisibility, and ordering — into the reals. Every prime, every factorization, every divisibility relation is carried along. The transcendence is not incidental to this transport; it is the mechanism that makes it possible, by ensuring that content and scale never interfere.

The digit sequence of τ is the trace of this structural freight. Each digit is constrained by the requirement that τ simultaneously encode a geometric ratio (circumference to radius), a transcendental barrier (algebraic indecomposability), and a faithful scaling of all integer arithmetic. A random sequence has no such constraints — it can be anything. The digits of τ cannot be anything. They must be exactly what they are, because any deviation would break the web of structural relationships that τ is obligated to maintain.

7.3 The Argument Stated

> *The digits of τ are not random in the structural sense because τ is maximally constrained. It must satisfy: (i) the geometric definition $\tau = C/r$ for any circle; (ii) the transcendence condition — no polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$ vanishes at τ; (iii) the conformal embedding condition — the map $n \to n\tau$ preserves the full arithmetic of $\mathbb{Z}$ without algebraic interference. These constraints jointly determine τ uniquely, and its digit expansion is the decimal projection of that unique determination. Randomness is the absence of structure. τ is nothing but structure. The apparent statistical randomness of its digits is the surface signature of a deterministic process so structurally rich that no finite pattern can capture it — which is, when one pauses to think about it, exactly what transcendence means.*

8. Scope and Limitations

Intellectual honesty requires delineating what this framework does and does not claim.

8.1 What Transfers Cleanly

The following properties of the integers transfer exactly to the τ-scaled system: irreducibility (primality) up to τ-units; unique prime factorization; the divisibility partial order; multiplicative structure and the fundamental theorem of arithmetic; ordering and the distribution of primes (up to uniform dilation of gaps).

8.2 What Does Not Transfer Automatically

The following properties are integer-specific and do not automatically migrate: congruences modulo m (these depend on the additive structure of $\mathbb{Z}$, which is not preserved under irrational scaling); additive number theory in general (Goldbach-type statements, Waring's problem, etc.); the prime number theorem in its classical form (though the asymptotic density scales predictably); properties tied to the discreteness of $\mathbb{Z}$ (there is no "next element" in $\tau\mathbb{Z}$ in the topological sense, since $\tau\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in no interval, but it is discrete as an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$).

The correct summary: τ-scaling preserves prime content, multiplicative structure, and factorization. It does not automatically preserve every integer-specific phenomenon. The framework is multiplicative, not additive.

8.3 What This Paper Does Not Claim

I do not claim that $3/\tau$ is "prime" in the sense of being a prime number in $\mathbb{Z}$. I do not claim that the normality conjecture is resolved. I do not claim that this framework constitutes a proof of any open conjecture about π or τ. The claim is conceptual and structural: the digits of τ are nonrandom in a precise sense that is distinct from both algorithmic randomness and statistical normality, and the mechanism of this nonrandomness is the faithful conformal embedding of integer arithmetic under transcendental scaling.

9. Conclusion: Transcendence Does Not Erase — It Transports

The central thesis of this paper is captured in a single sentence: transcendence does not destroy prime structure; it creates a scale domain in which prime content can be transported without being algebraically absorbed.

The τ-scaled factorization system $M\tau$ is not a toy construction. It is the natural multiplicative framework that emerges when you take a transcendental constant seriously as a scaling law rather than treating it as an opaque sequence of digits. Within this framework, the elements 3, 3τ, and 3/τ are not three different objects with an accidental numerical relationship. They are the same τ-prime, viewed at three different scales. The prime/composite distinction lives in the integer content. The scale lives in the τ-power. Transcendence is what keeps these two dimensions apart.

The digits of τ, in this light, are not “random digits that happen to encode a constant.” They are the unique decimal trace of a structural object that simultaneously satisfies a geometric constraint (circumference to radius), an algebraic constraint (transcendence), and an arithmetic constraint (faithful embedding of ℤ). Any real number satisfying that exact package of geometric, algebraic, and arithmetic constraints is τ. Once the object is fixed, the digits must be what they are.

The deepest version of this claim is not about digits at all. It is about what kind of thing a transcendental constant is. The standard view treats transcendence as a negative property: τ is transcendental because it fails to satisfy polynomial equations. The view advanced here treats transcendence as a positive structural property: τ is transcendental, in the positive structural sense advanced here, because only transcendence permits a conformal embedding of integer content into ℝ while maintaining complete algebraic separation between content and scale. Transcendence is not absence. It is the highest form of structural fidelity available to a scaling law.

Primes do not stop being structurally special just because you sample them through a transcendental unit. What changes is not the primality of the index, but the domain in which that index is being evaluated.

Notation and Conventions

$\tau = 2\pi \approx 6.283185\ldots$

$M\tau = \{ \pm n\tau^k : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{-0}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ (the τ-multiplicative family)

$U\tau = \{ \pm\tau^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ (scale units)

$c(a)$ = integer content of $a \in M\tau$

$\sim_\tau$ denotes the associate relation: $a \sim_\tau b$ iff $c(a) = c(b)$

τ-prime: an element $a \in M_\tau$ with $c(a)$ prime in $\mathbb{Z}$